(3) (4)

Hindi

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

In the Matter of W.P.NO. 1484 /2003.

PETITIONER Janardan Pandey, aged about 32 years, son of Late Shri K.K. Pandey, occupation – Service constable in D.S.B. (District Special Branch) Bhilai, Durg , Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh).

P. R. No. 13.12.03
Presented by Shri Bhupendra Singh
dated 01.05.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through: Secretary, Department of Home, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. State of Madhya Pradesh, through : Secretary, Department of Home, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.
3. Union of India, through : Secretary, Ministry of Home, New Delhi.
4. Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
5. Deputy Inspector General of Police (Intelligent), (Gupt Warta), Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Superintendent of Police, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh).

RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK A.G. BILASPUR

शपथ आयुक्त
एस.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)

(4) ~~(2)~~

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI QUO WARRANTO.

XI-HC-22

(24) (25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1484 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.7.2003

Petitioner by Shri Sushil Dubey, Advocate.

State by Shri Rajesh Pandey, Government Advocate.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Addl. Central Government Standing Counsel for the Union of India.

Shri R.S. Jha, Addl. Advocate General for the State of M.P.

Heard finally.

Counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that they have supplied the copies of the petitions with Annexures to the counsel for the State of C.G./M.P. and the Union of India.

However, one extra copy be supplied and the acknowledgements thereof be obtained.

Counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that these matters are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in W.P.No.2353/2002 (Mahesh Kumar Tiwari Vs. Union of India and others) decided on 28.4.2003. They pray that similar orders may be passed in these cases also as they are similar. It is stated that the statement is made at the Bar after due verification.

Counsel for the respondents submit that the petitioners have approached belatedly and it is not possible to decide the

[पीछे देखिये

(25) (27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

representations by the Central Government within the time schedule as mentioned in W.P.No.2353/2003 decided on 28.4.22003. Counsel for the petitioners are not in a position to dispute this. They, however, pray that their matters be considered.

Accordingly, relying on the statement made by counsel for the parties on the instructions received, as stated, the petitions are disposed of with the observations as made in ***W.P.No.2353/02 (Mahesh Kumar Tiwari Vs. Union of India and others) decided on 28.4.2003*** subject to the modification that the petitioners, if so desire, may make a detailed representation raising their grievances alongwith the documents within ten days from today to the Central Government addressed to the Government of India, Department of Personnel and Training, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Loknayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi (State Reorganisation Cell). Said copy of the representation duly supported by all relevant documents be also served on the concerned Department of both the States after obtaining the acknowledgement and both the States may forward the same to the Central Government with their comments and the requisite information and complete details in order to enable the Central Government to decide

[पीछे देखिये

(26) (28)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	the representation. It is open to the Central Government to seek such further information from the State Governments and on such requisition being made from the Central Government; the State Governments will supply the same. On such representation being made, the Central Government may consider and decide the same expeditiously by passing appropriate orders as deemed fit and proper, in accordance with law. In view of the above, M.W.P and I.A., if any, stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sdl- Fakhruddin Judge	